

THE DAILY CHRONICLE.

RULE & RICKS, Proprietors.

SATURDAY MORNING, JAN. 21, 1871.

HOW TO REMIT.

Money sent to us in registered letters, by Express, prepaid, or by Postoffice orders, will be at our risk—otherwise, at the risk of the sender.

SEND US THE NEWS.

We extend a special invitation to our friends to send us brief and pointed letters, giving items of interest in their several localities. We desire to have something of local interest in every issue for our East Tennessee readers.

THE LARGEST CIRCULATION

Of Any Daily Published in East Tennessee.

A MOVEMENT is on foot in Ohio tending toward the union of the Baptist and Christian denominations.

OLIVE LOGAN has purchased a brown stone house in New York, for which she paid \$20,000. By this it would seem that her lecturing has proven to be profitable, as well as entertaining.

The meeting at the court house last night was all that the friends of free schools could have desired. The attendance was good and the speeches excellent. The work is more than half accomplished. Let last night's efforts be followed by the right kind of work to-day, and victory will be achieved.

As was well said by one of the gentlemen who spoke at the court house last night, the success of free schools is not a partisan success. Its importance rises far above all partisan issues. It is a question in which all the people are interested. It is not a Republican measure, so far as it relates to our city, nor is it a Democratic measure. It is the PEOPLE who are moving in this matter.

If the friends of the common school cause will all be sure to vote to-day, and see that every other friend votes, there will be no danger as to the result. But, with the half-hearted support of some, the treachery of others, and the opposition, bold and unblushing, of many more, it will be defeated unless we work. Let every friend of the cause work, and save the city the lasting disgrace of a defeat.

The Senate, on Wednesday, passed on third reading House bill to repeal the act establishing the Tennessee Agricultural College at Knoxville. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table. It now remains to be seen whether Gov. Senter will approve this law to rob the University of a fund which, in law, justly belongs to it.

The Governor owes it to himself and justice to veto this bill and rebuke the Assembly for their blind partisan zeal and bitterness. Will he do it?

The philosophy that education makes a man miserable, unless accompanied by the means of gratifying the desires which intelligence begets, is a false and exploded one. It is based upon the vulgar idea that wealth is the only means for gratifying such desires. It is the greatest folly in the world. Education carries with it a more elevated and noble aspiration than a mere desire for wealth, or for pleasures that money alone can satisfy. We are surprised to hear such.

"We are confident that the measure will commend itself to the favorable consideration of our readers, in spite of the political maneuvering whereby it has been sought to make 'free schools' a partisan issue."

The above is from the *Press and Herald* of yesterday, in speaking of the special election that is to come off to-day. Why this attempt to drag politics into this election? By what process of reasoning is this made a "partisan issue"? Did Messrs. Henderson, Rayl and Mitchell in reporting the measure to our City Council, do it from political motives, and did the Board, in adopting their report and ordering an election do it for the purpose of making political capital? If the measure is carried, as every friend of education certainly desires, will not the management of the schools be in the hands of our present Board of Aldermen, four-fifths of whom are Democrats?

The fact is the *Press and Herald* men are not quite certain which is the popular side of this question, and are holding themselves in a position to take either side. The charge of "political maneuvering" in this matter is as contemptible as the source from which it comes. Men of all parties are heartily engaged in the work. The charge that Dr. Humes, Wm. A. Henderson, Col. John Baxter, Chancellor Temple, John L. Moses, Major Graetz, and many others, ostensibly for the maintenance of free schools, but really for political effect, needs no refutation.

But what right have we to expect anything else from the irresponsible adventurers of the *Press and Herald*? What interest have they in common with the people of this community? Carpet-baggers and adventurers in the true sense of the terms, they will pull up stakes and leave whenever the prostituted sheet they publish fails to receive public patronage sufficient to insure them a living. They are leeches upon our community, and whenever they have got all they can from us, they have nothing to do but pack their carpet-bags and leave. When they do leave here, if it is more profitable, they will publish an extreme Radical paper, somewhere else, just as they did at Chattanooga before they came here. We would like to know the man, of any party, who considers them responsible or reliable, financially or otherwise. They naturally oppose popular education, for the reason that a cultivated, intelligent community is not the element in which their depraved tastes are to meet with profitable recognition.

THE ST. DOMINGO COMMISSION.

The editor of the Cincinnati *Gazette* writes to his journal from Washington a manly statement of the St. Domingo question. He has opposed the President on the question, and his views are, therefore, entitled to great weight. He says: "When the resolution for a commission was introduced, it was opposed by anti-annexationists upon the suspicion that the commission would be packed. From what had been said with reference to the position of the President, this was a natural conclusion; but this apprehension was at once removed when the names of the commissioners were announced. When the President requested Hon. B. F. Wade to act as a commissioner, he had not exchanged a word or a line with him on the subject, and that gentleman goes out not only uncommitted, but fully determined to see for himself and give the facts to the country, leaving Congress free to exercise its own judgment, in the light of the report he may present. These remarks apply also to President White and Mr. Howe. The commission is an able, an honest and impartial one. It will go to the bottom of the whole business, and is not limited as to time. Upon this latter point the President expressed no desire, and the Commissioners go out absolutely untrammelled by instructions or even requests, except such general instructions as are embodied in the resolution of Congress. Representatives of the press have been permitted to accompany the Commissioners, and permits were granted without reference to the views of the correspondents, or the views or politics of the papers with which they are connected. This course has disarmed Republican opponents, and I feel warranted in saying that if the Commissioners shall make a favorable report, very few Republicans in Congress will vote against annexation, while, on the other hand, if the report shall not be favorable, the President will abandon the measure promptly and with more satisfaction than he felt when his mind was at first brought to favor it."

The Saulsbury seem to have a permanent lease on Little Delaware. A few evenings since, a Democratic caucus was held to determine which of the brothers Saulsbury should go the United States Senate. It resulted in laying Willard, the present incumbent, on the shelf, and the selection of his brother, Eli. Willard's bibulous propensities have eclipsed his prospects for the future, perhaps. He has scarcely seen three sober days since his election, six years ago.

The Baltimore *Sun* gives the following details of the caucus held to say which of three brothers was the proper man: "Upon going into a hall, it was found that three members from New Castle county were for re-electing Willard, four were for Gore, and the other three were in favor of Eli. The contest, however, was between Gore and Willard, and after they had, on the third ballot, equally divided the whole number of votes, one (excepted,) the whole of the Willard faction, and one original Eli man, who had voted for Gore the third time, went over to Eli, and gave him 16 votes to his brother's 14."

We know of men who pay nothing but a poll-tax, and never pay that until they are sued for it, who make loud complaints about being taxed for the maintenance of free schools.

"We are confident that the measure will commend itself to the favorable consideration of our readers, in spite of the political maneuvering whereby it has been sought to make 'free schools' a partisan issue."

The above is from the *Press and Herald* of yesterday, in speaking of the special election that is to come off to-day. Why this attempt to drag politics into this election? By what process of reasoning is this made a "partisan issue"? Did Messrs. Henderson, Rayl and Mitchell in reporting the measure to our City Council, do it from political motives, and did the Board, in adopting their report and ordering an election do it for the purpose of making political capital? If the measure is carried, as every friend of education certainly desires, will not the management of the schools be in the hands of our present Board of Aldermen, four-fifths of whom are Democrats?

The fact is the *Press and Herald* men are not quite certain which is the popular side of this question, and are holding themselves in a position to take either side. The charge of "political maneuvering" in this matter is as contemptible as the source from which it comes. Men of all parties are heartily engaged in the work. The charge that Dr. Humes, Wm. A. Henderson, Col. John Baxter, Chancellor Temple, John L. Moses, Major Graetz, and many others, ostensibly for the maintenance of free schools, but really for political effect, needs no refutation.

The above is from the *Press and Herald* of yesterday, in speaking of the special election that is to come off to-day. Why this attempt to drag politics into this election? By what process of reasoning is this made a "partisan issue"? Did Messrs. Henderson, Rayl and Mitchell in reporting the measure to our City Council, do it from political motives, and did the Board, in adopting their report and ordering an election do it for the purpose of making political capital? If the measure is carried, as every friend of education certainly desires, will not the management of the schools be in the hands of our present Board of Aldermen, four-fifths of whom are Democrats?

The fact is the *Press and Herald* men are not quite certain which is the popular side of this question, and are holding themselves in a position to take either side. The charge of "political maneuvering" in this matter is as contemptible as the source from which it comes. Men of all parties are heartily engaged in the work. The charge that Dr. Humes, Wm. A. Henderson, Col. John Baxter, Chancellor Temple, John L. Moses, Major Graetz, and many others, ostensibly for the maintenance of free schools, but really for political effect, needs no refutation.

But what right have we to expect anything else from the irresponsible adventurers of the *Press and Herald*? What interest have they in common with the people of this community? Carpet-baggers and adventurers in the true sense of the terms, they will pull up stakes and leave whenever the prostituted sheet they publish fails to receive public patronage sufficient to insure them a living. They are leeches upon our community, and whenever they have got all they can from us, they have nothing to do but pack their carpet-bags and leave. When they do leave here, if it is more profitable, they will publish an extreme Radical paper, somewhere else, just as they did at Chattanooga before they came here. We would like to know the man, of any party, who considers them responsible or reliable, financially or otherwise. They naturally oppose popular education, for the reason that a cultivated, intelligent community is not the element in which their depraved tastes are to meet with profitable recognition.

THE ST. DOMINGO COMMISSION.

The editor of the Cincinnati *Gazette* writes to his journal from Washington a manly statement of the St. Domingo question. He has opposed the President on the question, and his views are, therefore, entitled to great weight. He says: "When the resolution for a commission was introduced, it was opposed by anti-annexationists upon the suspicion that the commission would be packed. From what had been said with reference to the position of the President, this was a natural conclusion; but this apprehension was at once removed when the names of the commissioners were announced. When the President requested Hon. B. F. Wade to act as a commissioner, he had not exchanged a word or a line with him on the subject, and that gentleman goes out not only uncommitted, but fully determined to see for himself and give the facts to the country, leaving Congress free to exercise its own judgment, in the light of the report he may present. These remarks apply also to President White and Mr. Howe. The commission is an able, an honest and impartial one. It will go to the bottom of the whole business, and is not limited as to time. Upon this latter point the President expressed no desire, and the Commissioners go out absolutely untrammelled by instructions or even requests, except such general instructions as are embodied in the resolution of Congress. Representatives of the press have been permitted to accompany the Commissioners, and permits were granted without reference to the views of the correspondents, or the views or politics of the papers with which they are connected. This course has disarmed Republican opponents, and I feel warranted in saying that if the Commissioners shall make a favorable report, very few Republicans in Congress will vote against annexation, while, on the other hand, if the report shall not be favorable, the President will abandon the measure promptly and with more satisfaction than he felt when his mind was at first brought to favor it."

The Saulsbury seem to have a permanent lease on Little Delaware. A few evenings since, a Democratic caucus was held to determine which of the brothers Saulsbury should go the United States Senate. It resulted in laying Willard, the present incumbent, on the shelf, and the selection of his brother, Eli. Willard's bibulous propensities have eclipsed his prospects for the future, perhaps. He has scarcely seen three sober days since his election, six years ago.

The Baltimore *Sun* gives the following details of the caucus held to say which of three brothers was the proper man: "Upon going into a hall, it was found that three members from New Castle county were for re-electing Willard, four were for Gore, and the other three were in favor of Eli. The contest, however, was between Gore and Willard, and after they had, on the third ballot, equally divided the whole number of votes, one (excepted,) the whole of the Willard faction, and one original Eli man, who had voted for Gore the third time, went over to Eli, and gave him 16 votes to his brother's 14."

We know of men who pay nothing but a poll-tax, and never pay that until they are sued for it, who make loud complaints about being taxed for the maintenance of free schools.

"We are confident that the measure will commend itself to the favorable consideration of our readers, in spite of the political maneuvering whereby it has been sought to make 'free schools' a partisan issue."

The above is from the *Press and Herald* of yesterday, in speaking of the special election that is to come off to-day. Why this attempt to drag politics into this election? By what process of reasoning is this made a "partisan issue"? Did Messrs. Henderson, Rayl and Mitchell in reporting the measure to our City Council, do it from political motives, and did the Board, in adopting their report and ordering an election do it for the purpose of making political capital? If the measure is carried, as every friend of education certainly desires, will not the management of the schools be in the hands of our present Board of Aldermen, four-fifths of whom are Democrats?

The fact is the *Press and Herald* men are not quite certain which is the popular side of this question, and are holding themselves in a position to take either side. The charge of "political maneuvering" in this matter is as contemptible as the source from which it comes. Men of all parties are heartily engaged in the work. The charge that Dr. Humes, Wm. A. Henderson, Col. John Baxter, Chancellor Temple, John L. Moses, Major Graetz, and many others, ostensibly for the maintenance of free schools, but really for political effect, needs no refutation.

The above is from the *Press and Herald* of yesterday, in speaking of the special election that is to come off to-day. Why this attempt to drag politics into this election? By what process of reasoning is this made a "partisan issue"? Did Messrs. Henderson, Rayl and Mitchell in reporting the measure to our City Council, do it from political motives, and did the Board, in adopting their report and ordering an election do it for the purpose of making political capital? If the measure is carried, as every friend of education certainly desires, will not the management of the schools be in the hands of our present Board of Aldermen, four-fifths of whom are Democrats?

The fact is the *Press and Herald* men are not quite certain which is the popular side of this question, and are holding themselves in a position to take either side. The charge of "political maneuvering" in this matter is as contemptible as the source from which it comes. Men of all parties are heartily engaged in the work. The charge that Dr. Humes, Wm. A. Henderson, Col. John Baxter, Chancellor Temple, John L. Moses, Major Graetz, and many others, ostensibly for the maintenance of free schools, but really for political effect, needs no refutation.

But what right have we to expect anything else from the irresponsible adventurers of the *Press and Herald*? What interest have they in common with the people of this community? Carpet-baggers and adventurers in the true sense of the terms, they will pull up stakes and leave whenever the prostituted sheet they publish fails to receive public patronage sufficient to insure them a living. They are leeches upon our community, and whenever they have got all they can from us, they have nothing to do but pack their carpet-bags and leave. When they do leave here, if it is more profitable, they will publish an extreme Radical paper, somewhere else, just as they did at Chattanooga before they came here. We would like to know the man, of any party, who considers them responsible or reliable, financially or otherwise. They naturally oppose popular education, for the reason that a cultivated, intelligent community is not the element in which their depraved tastes are to meet with profitable recognition.

STRICTLY

ONE PRICE

STORE!

R. S. PAYNE & CO.,

SELL FOR

Cash Exclusively

AT

RETAIL!

KING'S CLOTH LACE GAITERS,

\$3.00!

Never sold in Knoxville for less than

\$3.50.

All Goods Marked Down

TO THE

LOWEST NET CASH PRICE!

And will not be sold to the

Rich nor Poor

BUT FOR

CASH IN HAND

At Retail.

Everything in our Retail Department is

marked in

PLAIN FIGURES!

And there is

No Deviation in Price!

We cordially invite all who are disposed

to buy Goods as LOW as they can be sold

for CASH, to

GIVE US A TRIAL.

R. S. PAYNE & CO.

MERCHANTS!

Will bear in mind that our Stock in the

Wholesale Department will be larger than

ever before. MR. MCULTY, our New

York Partner, left this city yesterday to

visit the Eastern Factories, and leave or-

ders for the manufacture of our Spring

Stock.

R. S. PAYNE & CO.

January 18—19, 76

Dissolution of Copartnership.

THE COPARTNERSHIP HERETOFORE EXISTING under the firm name and style of MABRY, TURNER & CO., in this day dissolved by limitation. The business will hereafter be conducted under the same name and style, composed of Jos. A. Mabry, Sr., C. Turner and Jos. A. Mabry, Jr. Parties owing the old concern are notified to call and settle their accounts within thirty days. The new firm will close the business of the old.

JOS. A. MABRY, Sr. C. TURNER. JOS. A. MABRY, Jr.

MABRY, TURNER & CO.,

GENERAL

Commission Merchants,

NO. 11 GAY STREET,

KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE,

DEALERS IN

BACON, LARD, FLOUR,

Corn, Wheat, Oats, Hay.

—AND—

COUNTRY PRODUCE

GENERALLY.

Agricultural Implements,

FIELD AND GARDEN SEEDS.

Plaster, Cement, Salt and Fertilizers.

REFER TO

TAYLOR, BROS., 17 Wall Street, New York.

R. M. McCLEUNG, Cash. 1st Nat. Bank, Knoxville.

JOS. R. MITCHELL, Cash. People's Bank, Knoxville.

Never sold in Knoxville for less than

\$3.50.

All Goods Marked Down

TO THE

LOWEST NET CASH PRICE!

And will not be sold to the

Rich nor Poor

BUT FOR

CASH IN HAND

At Retail.

Everything in our Retail Department is

marked in

PLAIN FIGURES!

And there is

No Deviation in Price!

We cordially invite all who are disposed

to buy Goods as LOW as they can be sold

for CASH, to

GIVE US A TRIAL.

R. S. PAYNE & CO.

MERCHANTS!

Will bear in mind that our Stock in the

Wholesale Department will be larger than

ever before. MR. MCULTY, our New

York Partner, left this city yesterday to

visit the Eastern Factories, and leave or-

ders for the manufacture of our Spring

Stock.

R. S. PAYNE & CO.

January 18—19, 76

Real Estate.

EAST TENNESSEE

LAND AGENCY.

CHARLES SEYMOUR,

Real Estate Agent,

Attorney at Law.

Conveyancer and Commissioner of Deeds for Michigan, Ohio, Georgia, etc., etc.

Real Estate of Every Description

Bought, Sold, Exchanged, Rented

or Leased.

Realty collected and taxes paid in all parts of the

Tennessee.

Through active and responsible correspondence, I can

have all business connected with Real Estate promptly

and faithfully attended to in all parts of the United

States.

Abstracts of title carefully prepared, and

Surveys superintended.

Renting, care and management of city property made

a specialty.

Deeds, Mortgages and other papers relating

to Real Estate promptly and

carefully drawn.

Read the list given below of a few of the properties

which I offer for sale.

CITY LIST

Valuable Lots for Sale.

I OFFER FORTY OF THE CHOICEST LOTS NORTH OF

THE RAILROAD, AND AFFORDING THE BEST INVESTMENT

NOW ON THE MARKET. COOPER LOTS ON IMPROVED

STREETS.

CALL AND LOOK OVER MAPS AND PRICES.

No. 372—Choice Gay street lot.

No. 373—A choice building lot, large, and beautifully

located, near the University, about one mile from

Knoxville Postoffice.

No. 374—Brick cottage, new and well built, on a de-

sirable lot, well wooded, with fruit trees set out, and

good cellar. Price \$600, and \$300 required in cash.

No. 375—Building site, 200 feet square, on turnpike

road, one mile from Market Square. \$350.

No. 376—Store house and residence on Depot Square.

Sweetwater. Buildings large and in good order, but

large, well improved and well located in one of the

prettiest and pleasantest villages in East Tennessee.

Rents for \$2.00 a year, and worth much more to a man

wishing a good location for business and a home.

No. 377—A choice lot, central and well retired, with a

fine view of the city, mountains and river, on one of

our best streets, sidewalks, gas, etc. \$2000.00. \$1200.

Two valuable lots on Gay street, near the

No. 378—Exceedingly comfortable, and desirable re-

sidence, with 10 acres of finely improved ground, on turn-

pike, two miles from Knoxville.

No. 379—Large and well finished house in East Knox-

ville, with newly improved lot. Location very good.

30 LOTS in East Knoxville, ranging from \$50 to \$500

in price.